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| **Cluster Performance Monitoring****Final Report** |

 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Cluster:** |

 |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Nutrition** |

 |  |  |  |
|  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Country:** |

 |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Yemen** |

 |  |  |  |
|  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Level:** |

 |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **IBB sub-national cluster** |

 |  |  |  |
|  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Completed on:** |

 |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **08/05/2018** |

 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
|  This report provides the findings of the Cluster Performance Monitoring and allows the reporting of good practices, constraints and action points that will be identified and agreed upon by the cluster during the revision of the preliminary report. |

 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| ***This is a template of the final report to be filled in and shared publicly. The performance status is filled from the results of the survey. The last 2 columns need to be filled according to discussions held with partners during the meeting reviewing the results of the cluster performance*** |

 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Table 1. Response rate among partners** |

 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Partner Type** | **Number of responded partners** | **Total number of partners** | **Response Rate (%)** |
| **Donors** | 0 | 0 | 0.0% |
| **International NGOs** | 3 | 8 | 37.5% |
| **National Authority** | 1 | 2 | 50.0% |
| **National NGOs** | 12 | 10 | 120.0% |
| **ICRC/IFRC** | 0 | 0 | 0.0% |
| **UN Organizations** | 1 | 3 | 33.3% |
| **Total** | 17 | 23 | 73.9% |

 |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Table 2. Score matrix** |

 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |

| **Core Functions** | **Indicative characteristics** | **Performance status** | **Performance status****Constraints: unexpected  circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified** | **Follow-up action, with timeline,** **(when status is orange or red) and/or  support required** |  **Who / lead** |  **Time line / commence** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1. Supporting service delivery**  |  |  |
| 1.1 Providing a platform that ensures service delivery is driven by Humanitarian Response Plan and strategic priorities | *Established, relevant coordination mechanism recognizing national systems, subnational and co-lead aspects; stakeholders participatingregularly and effectively; cluster coordinator active in inter-cluster and related meetings.* | Good | Overall good |  |  |  |
| 1.2 Developing mechanisms to eliminate duplication of service delivery | *Cluster partner engagement in dynamic mapping of presence and capacity (4W); information sharing across clusters in line with joint Strategic Objectives.* | Good | Overall good |  |  |  |
| **2. Informing strategic decisions of the Humanitarian Coordinator (HC) and Humanitarian Country Team (HCT)** |  |  |
| 2.1 Preparing needs assessments and analysis of gaps (across and within Clusters, using information management tools as needed) to inform the setting of priorities  | *Use of assessment tools in accordance with agreed minimum standards, individual assessment / survey results shared and/or carried out jointly as appropriate.* | Satisfactory | 1. Unexpected circumstances:
	1. Nutrition cluster partners do not share the needs of most affected populations / or do not conduct SMART Survey.
	2. No adequate gap analysis and identification of anticipated needs, gaps
2. Success factors:
	1. Sharing the needs assessment results between all Cluster partners
	2. Cluster partners must be oriented to implement the response in districts with high priority needs, based on needs, gaps analysis results
	3. Preparing a plan to respond for expected needs, and gaps
3. Good practices: Cluster partners must conduct a baseline study before implement any project’s activity, cluster partners must conduct a PDM after each project completed
 | 1. Design inter-sectoral needs assessment form
2. Conduct inter- sectoral needs assessment
3. Sharing the needs assessment results
4. Monitoring and Evaluation
 | 1. By Save the children (SCI)
2. By All Partners
3. Sub-national Cluster Coordinator
4. CLA
 | 1. After 2 weeks
2. Every 3 months
3. Once new data is available
4. Every 3 months
 |
| 2.2 Identifying and finding solutions for (emerging) gaps, obstacles, duplication and cross-cutting issues | *Joint analysis for current and anticipated risks, needs, gaps and constraints; cross cutting issues addressed from outset.* | Satisfactory | Challenges:* No Periodic coordination meeting by Partners,
* No Inter-sectoral gaps analysis.
 | * Conduct coordination meeting monthly, by cluster partners, in order to response to gaps
* Produce gap analysis maps, and sharing it with all partners
* Activate a mechanism for early warning with gaps, and needs.
 | * IMC will coordinate for a meeting.
* By YFCA + SCI
* By all Partner
 | * MOnthly
* MOnthly
 |
| 2.3 Formulating priorities on the basis of analysis  |  *Joint analysis supporting response planning and prioritization in short and medium term* | Good | Overall good |  |  |  |
| **3. Planning and implementing Cluster strategies**  |  |  |
| 3.1 Developing sectoral plans, objectives and indicators that directly support realization of the overall response’s strategic objectives | *Strategic plan based on identified priorities, shows synergies with other sectors against strategic objectives, addresses cross cutting issues, incorporates exit strategy discussion and is developed jointly with partners. Plan is updated regularly and guides response.* | Satisfactory | Updating the list of partners and their emails to receive any planning or strategic information from the national level . | Dr.Murad sub national coordinators | coming cluster meeting  | In one week and regularly monthly |
| 3.2 Applying and adhering to common standards and guidelines  | *Use of existing national standards and guidelines where possible. Standards and guidance are agreed to, adhered to and reported against.* | Good | The weakness of society awareness. | Increase the awareness's level inside society by using TV, radio stations, social media, mosques ….etc.Executing awareness processes for society to explain them what are the projects that will be executed | one month  | by Dr / Naif Noman Al-bokhity.Bokhiti @gmail.com |
| 3.3 Clarifying funding requirements, helping to set priorities, and agreeing Cluster contributions to the HC’s overall humanitarian funding proposals  | *Funding requirements determined with partners, allocation under jointly agreed criteria and prioritization, status tracked and information shared.* | Unsatisfactory | Cluster has be sheared to determine the funding requirements.  | Cluster has to inform the partners if there is any funding and how they can get it because there is lack in funding resources that force partners to change their priorities | Sub national coordinator and national coordinator in all  | cluster meeting |
| **4. Monitoring and evaluating performance** |  |  |
| 4.1 Monitoring and reporting on activities and needs | *N/A* | Satisfactory |   | Create website which receives the monthly reports from partners and add a window to display any interference.Make changes to report template to be able add comments | Sub national coordinator and national coordinator in all cluster meeting with partners National level | ASAP |
| 4.2 Measuring progress against the Cluster strategy and agreed results | *N/A* | Satisfactory | Partners don't know the way that cluster measured the development. Tehre is a quarterly progress report towards the cluyster workplan, that sub-national CC should share with aprtners | Sub-national NCC to regularly share progress reports with partners  | Sub-national CC | Quarterly |
| 4.3 Recommending corrective action where necessary | *N/A* | Satisfactory | Reports are delayed | Partners must uplift monthly reports to cluster. | All partners (every months) | Monthly |
| **5. Building national capacity in preparedness and contingency planning** |  |  |
| 5.1 National contingency plans identified, updated and shared | *N/A* | Satisfactory |  |  |  |  |
| 5.2 Cluster roles and responsibilities defined and understood | *N/A* | Weak |  | Update communication list of partners | Focal person to the sharing  | Deem -21/7/2018dr.mohammed saeed |
| 5.3 Early warning reports shared with partners | *N/A* | Weak | Update communication list of partners to receive the early warning reports and have comments Sharing any quarterly analysis of the screening data during nutrition sub-cluster cluster meeting. | Sub national coordinators and national cluster coordinator  | Dr.Murad All partners  |  |
| **6. Advocacy** |  |  |
| 6.1 Identify concerns, and contributing key information and messages to HC and HCT messaging and action | *N/A* | Satisfactory |   | Develop advocacy strategy and all partners to follow the strategy in their advocacy None stop update of information an action from partners | NCCAll partners | By AugustMonthly |
| 6.2 Undertaking advocacy on behalf of Cluster, Cluster members and affected people | *N/A* | Satisfactory |  | Call partners to adopt advocacy an action issues |  |  |
| **7 Accountability to affected people** |  |  |
| 7.1 Mechanisms to consult and involve affected people in decision-making agreed upon and used by partners | *N/A* | Good | Overall good |  |  |  |
| 7.2 Mechanisms to receive, investigate and act upon complaints on the assistance received agreed upon and used by partners | *N/A* | Good | Overall good |  |  |  |
| 7.3 Key issues relating to protection from sexual exploitation and abuse have been raised and discussed | *N/A* | Unsatisfactory |   | Regular dcumentation by partners about cases of the sexual exploitation and abuse of affected people | All partners  | Regularly |

 |  |